Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Pagetwork Reduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Docket Number (Optional) PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW MS1 0789US I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Application Number Filed United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for 09/882,810 6/14/2001 Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450* [37 CFR 1.8(a)] ଠା/ଧା05 First Named Inventor Shannon J. Chan Signature Art Unit Examiner Typed or printed 2131 Taghi T Arani Rachel Murphy name. Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. Daniel L. Hayes See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) Typed or printed name attorney or agent of record. 34618 509-324-9256 Registration number Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1,34 Date NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*. *Total of _ forms are submitted.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is astimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Step AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

AUG 1 8 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 Serial No...
 09/882,810

 Filing Date...
 6/14/2001

 Confirmation No...
 7986

 Inventorship...
 Chan et al.

 Applicant...
 Microsoft Corporation

 Group Art Unit
 2131

 Examiner
 Arani, Taghi T

 Attorney's Docket No.
 MS1-789US

 Title: Key Exchange Mechanism for Streaming Protected Media Content

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF

Independent claims 1, 13, 19, 24, and 27 have been rejected as being anticipated under §102 by the Spies reference. The Examiner's error in making this rejection is plainly illustrated by the following two paragraphs, taken from page 2 of the 5/31/05 Office Action:

As per independent claims 1, 13, 19, 24, and 27, the Applicant merely argues that the cited prior art of Spies does not describe exchanging keys between a DVD drive and any other component and that it does not disclose passing one or more keys from a DVD. The Applicant admits (page 12 of the REMARKS) of Spies discussing various types of keys and communication of keys between different components, including servers and clients, but argues that Spies does not disclose passing keys from DVDs (page 9 of the REMARKS).

The Examiner responds that independent claims 1, 13, 19, 24, and 27 are rejected based on U.S.C. 102(b) and the functional elements of exchanging keys were found to be substantially anticipated by Spices, where the program key is exchanged between purchaser and merchant units using pair of public and private exchange keys (col. 3, lines 19-51, see also col. 11, lines 46+) to obtain decryption capabilities.

A §102 rejection requires that each and every element of a claim be present in a single reference, arranged as claimed. Claim 1, as an example, recites that a client and server "pass one or more keys from the DVD to the key exchange client

25

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

..." Spies does not show this, as the Examiner impliedly admits in the passage above.

In response to the argument that Spies does not show passing keys from DVDs, the Examiner has maintained that even so, Spies "substantially" anticipates the claims. The Applicant respectfully submits that this does not meet the requirements of §102. Spies does not show all the elements of the independent claims, and therefore does not anticipate the claims.

Withdrawal of the rejections is therefore requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

ted: <u>\$ 18 05</u>

By:

Daniel L. Hayes Reg. No. 34,618 (509) 324-9256

LEE & HAYES FLIC

2

0818031120 U:\DA\$FORM.WPD